in the

and condemnation of 700 tins and 10 cases of tomato paste, and subsequently, amended libel alleging the quantity of the product to be 436 cases of tomato faste. It was alleged in the libel, as amended, that the article had been hipped by John S. Mitchell, Inc., Windfall, Ind., in part on or about October 1926, and in part on or about October 25, 1926, and had been transported from the State of Indiana into the State of Louisiana, and that it was adulterated in violation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: (man) "Regal Brand Pure Tomato Paste" (or "Empress Brand Tomato Paste" "Imperial Brand Pure Tomato Paste," or "Liberty Bell Concentrated Tomato Easte,") "Distributed By John S. Mitchell, Inc., Windfall, Indiana."

It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated, in that it con-

sisted in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid vegetable substance.

On March 10, 1927, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court that the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

5171. Adulteration of canned string beans. U. S. v. 80 Cases of Canned String Beans. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 21394. I. S. No. 14861-x. S. No. E-5911.)

On or about November 26, 1926, the United States attorney for the District New Jersey, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in the District Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and indemnation of 80 cases of canned string beans, remaining unsold at Newark, J., alleging that the article had been shipped by the Webster Canning & reserving Co., Webster, N. Y., on or about June 11, 1926, and transported from he State of New York into the State of New Jersey, and charging adulteration violation of the food and drugs act.
It was alleged in the libel that the article was adulterated, in that it con-

sted in part of a filthy, decomposed, and putrid vegetable substance.

On May 28, 1927, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment condemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.

Adulteration and misbranding of malted milk. U. S. v. 15 Cases and 10 Cases of Malted Milk. Default decree of condemnation, forfeiture, and destruction. (F. & D. No. 21271. I. S. Nos. 13462-x. 13462-x. S. No. E-5798.)

On August 30, 1926, the United States attorney for the District of New sey, acting upon a report by the Secretary of Agriculture, filed in 'he Dist Court of the United States for said district a libel praying seizure and demnation of 25 cases of malted milk, at West New York, N. J., alleging the article had been shipped by the Delmoy Sales Corporation, New York, Y, on or about August 4, 1926, and transported from the State of New York the State of New Jersey, and charging adulteration and misbranding in ation of the food and drugs act. The article was labeled in part: "Melodew Sweetened Chocolate Flavor Malted Milk And Dry Malt Delmoy Sales Corp., A Chocolate Malted Milk In A Minute * * * Melodew, a sweetened

mocolate flavor Malted Milk, is composed of the finest Malted Milk scientifically

soffined with pure Cocoa, Barley Malt and Cane Sugar."

Adulteration of the article was alleged in the libel for the reason that a subdeficient in malted milk and butterfat had been mixed and packed thereiso as to reduce, lower, or injuriously affect its quality and strength, and

Geen substituted wholly for the said article.

istranding was alleged for the reason that the statements, "A Sweetened diate Flavor Malted Milk And Dry Malt * * * A Chocolate Malted in A Minute * * * Melodew, a sweetened chocolate flavor Malted is composed of the finest Malted Milk scientifically combined with pure Barley Malt and Cane Sugar," borne on the label, were false and steading and deceived and misled the purchaser, and for the further reason the article was an imitation of and offered for sale under the distinctive and of another article.

OpeMay 28, 1927, no claimant having appeared for the property, judgment of ordemnation and forfeiture was entered, and it was ordered by the court

the product be destroyed by the United States marshal.

W. M. JARDINE, Secretary of Agriculture.